DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAMES E. CURTIS 9821 N. Windwalker Trail Tucson, AZ 85741

RECEIVED

APR - 8 100

FOO MAIL ROOM

Chairman of FCC Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

Re: E.T. Docket #93-7

Gentlemen:

I would like to see three things enacted regarding cablevision service; they are:

- 1. One monthly service charge to each home which would include one TV jack. Each additional TV jack would require an installation charge, but no extra monthly charge should be assessed for these jacks. Charging monthly for each additional jack is a common practice at present.
- 2. The cable companies fight for the rights to service the more heavily populated areas, and if one is awarded the contract, it should be mandatory for them to also service a predetermined amount of outlying (rural) areas as well.
- 3. Maybe the simplest solution would be to let the telephone companies handle it. They are already serving millions of telephone users and could readily set up service for most anyone who wanted cable access.

Your attention and consideration in this matter are greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

James E. Curtis

ames Election

JC/plc